

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2002
Technology Center 2600

Bereskin & Parr



Barristers and Solicitors/Patent and Trade Mark Agents
Practice Restricted to Intellectual Property Law

Bhupinder S. Randhawa
B.A.Sc. (Comp. Eng.), J.D.
416 957 1624 brandhawa@bereskinparr.com

#9
9-5-02
bhu

First Named Inventor: Robert BRENNAN
Application No.: 09/060,825
Filed: April 16, 1998
Title: Noise Reduction in Hearing Aids
Group Art Unit: 2743
Examiner: Con P. Tran
Docket No. 10494-10

**PETITION TO RESET A PERIOD FOR RESPONSE DUE TO LATE
RECEIPT OF AN OFFICE ACTION**

August 16, 2002

The Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Washington, D.C 20231

This petition relates to an Office Action for the above-referenced patent application that was received at the undersigned's correspondence address on August 7, 2002. Accordingly, this petition is filed within 2 weeks of the receipt date.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH MPEP §710.06, APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS THAT THE PERIOD TO REPLY TO THE OFFICE ACTION BE RESTARTED FROM THE DATE OF RECEIPT (i.e. AUGUST 7, 2002).

The Applicant submits that the Office Action was not received by postal mail at the undersigned's correspondence address. The Applicant became aware of the Office Action by submitting a Status Inquiry with the USPTO for the above-referenced patent application on July 17, 2002. A Status Letter Reply was then received by the Applicant on August 6, 2002 which indicated that a Non Final Office Action was mailed on May 30, 2002. The Applicant then contacted the USPTO and requested a copy of the Office Action. A faxed version of the Office Action was received at the undersigned's correspondence address on August 7, 2002. Accordingly, the Applicant submits that a substantial portion of the set reply period of three months had elapsed on the date of receipt of the Office Action (i.e. there are only two weeks left to reply to the Office Action).

please send your reply to

Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West, 40th Floor
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 3Y2
t: 416 364 7311 f: 416 361 1398

Meadowvale Corporate Centre, 2000 Argentia Road
Plaza 4, Suite 430, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L5N 1W1
t: 905 812 3600 f: 905 814 0031

www.bereskinparr.com

Enclosed herein is a photocopy of the Status Letter Reply indicating that a Non Final Office Action was mailed on May 30, 2002. The Status Letter is date stamped, in accordance with our firm's standard practices, to indicate that the date of receipt was August 6, 2002. Also enclosed is a photocopy of the faxed Office Action sent by the Customer Service Office of the USPTO. The cover page is date stamped to indicate that the date of receipt was on August 7, 2002. Also enclosed is a printout of the due date report for our file that corresponds to the subject patent application. The due date report indicates a due date of August 31, 2002 to reply to the Office Action along with the comment that the Office Action was received by fax on August 7, 2002. It is customary firm practice to place an entry in the comment section when there are special circumstances associated with a due date.

In view of the comments made above, the Applicant respectfully requests that the period to reply to the Office Action be reset from the date of receipt of the Office Action (August 7, 2002).

If the Commissioner has any questions or concerns regarding this Petition, then the Commissioner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at 416-957-1624 or Tony Orsi at 416-957-1603.

Respectfully submitted,


Bhupinder S. Randhawa,
Reg. No. 47,276
Tele. No.: (416) 957-1624

Bereskin & Parr, Customer No. 001059
Scotia Plaza
40 King Street West, 40th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 3Y2

Enclosures: A photocopy of Status Letter Reply
A photocopy of the faxed Office Action
A printout of the Due Date Report for the subject patent application.

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2002

Technology Center 2600



STATUS LETTER REPLY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

AUG 06 2002

BERESKIN & PARR

Serial Number: 09/060,825

Group Art Unit: 2644

Filing Date: 04/16/1998

Attorney Reference: 680148

Examiner: Con Tran

REQUESTER: Bhupinder S. Randhawa

Attorney of Record

Not of Record. Therefore, the following status information is being released to the following ATTORNEY OF RECORD: _____

Not of Record. Unfortunately, the status information can not be released because the requestor is not of record. If the requester should be of record, please forward additional changes to the Power of Attorney to the Customer Service Office.

STATUS INFORMATION

Action by the examiner

Expected date for action on this application

Month: _____ Year: _____

Other (explain): NON FINAL ACTION MAILED as of 05/30/2002.

Aalia Dixon
Customer Service Office
Technology Center 2600
(703) 306-0377 (voice)
(703) 872-9313 (fax)

USPTO - TC2600
Customer Service Office (CSO)

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2002

Technology Center 2600

Fax

To: Yvonne Brown From: **YVONNE BROWN**
Fax: (416)361-1398 Fax: **(703) 872-9313**
Phone: (416)957-1624 Phone: **(703) 306-0377**
Date: 8/7/02 Pages: **16**

Urgent **For Review** **Please Comment** **Please Reply** **Please Recycle**

● **Comments:**

Reply to Status letter(s)
 Other _____





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/060,825	04/16/1998	ROBERT BRENNAN	680148	1023

7590 05/31/2002

H SAMUEL FROST
BERESKIN & PARR
BOX 401
40 KING STREET WEST
TORONTO, M5L13Y
CANADA

EXAMINER

TRAN, CON F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2644

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/060,825	BRENNAN, ROBERT
	Examiner Con P. Tran	Art Unit 2644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. *16 April 31, 2002*

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 April 1998.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 12-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825
Art Unit: 2644

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant should provide updated information regarding related application as mentioned on page 6 line 5 of the specification in response to this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eguchi et al. (Eguchi; U.S. Patent No. 5,337,366).

Regarding claim 1, Eguchi et al. teach a method for reducing noise in a signal (see col. 6 lines 25-27). The method comprising the steps (see Fig.1 and respective portion of the specification):

- (1) supplying the input signal $u(n)$ to an amplification unit (17);
- (2) subjecting the input signal to an auxiliary noise reduction algorithm (31), to generate an auxiliary signal (y_2);
- (3) using the auxiliary signal (y_2) to determine a control input for the amplification unit (16); and

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825

Page 3

Art Unit: 2644

(4) controlling the amplification unit (16) with the control signal (y_2), to generate an output signal (y) with reduced noise (see col. 9, lines 8-11).

Regarding claim 2, Eguchi et al. teach a method for reducing noise in a signal (see col. 6 lines 25-27, Fig.1 and respective portion of the specification), wherein the input signal (u) is subjected to a main noise reduction algorithm (32), to generate a modified input signal (u_2), which is supplied to the amplification unit (16).

Regarding claim 3, Eguchi et al. teach a method for reducing noise in a signal (see col. 6 lines 25-27, Fig.1 and respective descriptions), wherein the main reduction algorithms (32) and the auxiliary noise reduction algorithms (31) are different.

4. Claims 4-6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Händel. (Händel; PCT WO 96/24128).

Regarding claim 4, Händel teaches a method of reducing noise (see page 2, lines 10-12) in an input, audio signal containing speech (see page 2, lines 3-6), the method comprising the steps of (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 7):

(1) detecting the presence and absence of speech utterances (see page 4, lines 1-5; and page 5, lines 22-28);

(2) in the absence of speech (see page 5, lines 13-15), determining a noise magnitude spectral estimate (140);

(3) in the presence of speech comparing the magnitude of the audio signal (130) to the noise magnitude spectral estimate (see page 5, lines 15-21);

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825

Page 4

Art Unit: 2644

(4) calculating (see page 16 lines 1-page 17, lines 24) an attenuation function (16) from the magnitude spectrum of the audio signal (130) and the noise magnitude spectral estimate (140); and

(5) modifying (see page 17, lines 24-30) the input signal by the attenuation function (16) to generate an output signal $\{\hat{S}(k)\}$ with reduced noise (see page 18, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 5, Händel teaches a method of reducing noise (see page 2, lines 12-13) in an input, audio signal containing speech (see page 2, lines 3-6), wherein (see page 3, lines 12-14) the square of the speech magnitude spectral estimate ($\Phi_x(w)$) is determined by subtracting the square of the noise magnitude spectral estimate ($\Phi_v(w)$) from the square of the magnitude spectrum of the input signal ($\Phi_s(w)$).

Regarding claim 6, Händel teaches a method of reducing noise (see page 2, lines 10-12) in an input, audio signal containing speech (see page 2, lines 3-6), wherein (see page 7, Table 2, line 8) the attenuation function (i.e. $\hat{H}_{\delta PS}(w)$) is calculated in accordance with the following equation:

$$H(f) = \{ (|X(f)|^2 - \beta |N^*(f)|^2) / |X(f)|^2 \}^\alpha$$

Where: $H(f) = \hat{H}_{\delta PS}(w)$, attenuation function (see page 7, line 2-3)

$X(f) = \Phi_x^*(w)$, magnitude spectrum of the input audio signal

(see page 3, line 26-27)

$N^*(f) = \Phi_v^*(w)$, noise magnitude spectral estimate

(see page 4, line 3-4)

$\beta = \delta$, oversubtraction factor (see page 26, lines 6-7)

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825
Art Unit: 2644

Page 5

$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, an attenuation rule

Regarding claim 14, Händel teaches a method of reducing noise (see page 2, lines 10-12) in an input, audio signal containing speech. The method includes detecting speech with a modified auto-correlation (i.e., autoregressive, page 11, lines 14-15).

5. Claims 21, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Borth et al. (Borth; U.S. Patent No. 4,628,529).

Regarding claim 21, Borth et al. disclose an apparatus for reducing noise in a signal (see Fig. 1). The apparatus including an input (110) for a signal and an output (150) for a noise reduced signal. The apparatus comprising an auxiliary noise reduction means (125) connected to the input (110) for generating an auxiliary signal output to an amplification means (130). The amplification means (130) connected to the input (110) for receiving the original input signal. The amplification means (130) also being controlled by the auxiliary signal to generate an output signal with reduced noise (see col. 4, lines 29-30) to the output (135).

Regarding claim 22, Borth et al. disclose an apparatus for reducing noise in a signal (see Fig. 1). The apparatus including an input (110) for a signal and an output (150) for a noise reduced signal. The apparatus comprises an amplification and an auxiliary noise reduction. The auxiliary noise reduction comprising:

(1) detection means (125) connected to the input (110) and providing a detection signal indicative of the presence of a desired audio signal (see col. 4, lines 21-25);

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825

Page 6

Art Unit: 2644

(2) magnitude means (120) for determining the magnitude spectrum of the input signal (110), with both the detection means (125) and the magnitude means (120) being connected to the input (see col. 4, lines 17-20);

(3) spectral estimate means (125) for generating a noise magnitude spectral estimate and being connected to the detection means (itself) and to the input (110) of the apparatus (see col. 4 lines 21-25); and

(4) noise filter calculation means (130) connected to the spectral estimate means (125) and the magnitude means (120), for receiving the noise magnitude spectral estimate and magnitude spectrum of the input signal to produce the auxiliary signal and having an output for the auxiliary signal connected to the amplification means (itself).

Regarding claim 23, Borth et al. disclose an apparatus for reducing noise in a signal (see Fig. 1); wherein the auxiliary noise reduction means including a frequency transform means (115) connected between input (110) and both of the magnitude means (120) and the spectral estimate means (125) for transforming the signal into the frequency domain to provide a transformed signal wherein the magnitude means (120) determines the magnitude spectrum from the transformed signal, and wherein the spectral estimate means (125) determines the noise spectral estimate from the transformed signal (see col. 4 lines 15-30).

6. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasunaga. (Yasunaga; U.S. Patent No. 4,845,753).

Regarding claim 17, Yasunaga teaches a method of determining the presence of speech (Abstract, line 6-12) in an audio signal (see Fig. 4). The method comprising

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825
Art Unit: 2644

Page 7

taking a block of in input audio signal (see col. 3 lines 39-40) and performing an auto-correlation (S42) on that block to form a correlated signal (see col. 3 lines 43-45); and checking (S53) the correlated signal for the presence of a periodic signal having a pitch corresponding to that for speech (see col. 2 lines 58-61, col. 3 lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 18, Yasunaga teaches a method of determining the presence of speech (Abstract, line 6-12) in an audio signal (see Fig. 4), wherein the auto-correlation (S42) is performed on a first block taken from an audio signal (see col. 3 lines 43-45), and a delayed block (S44) from the audio signal (see col. 3 lines 45-58).

Regarding claim 19, Yasunaga teaches a method of determining the presence of speech (Abstract, line 6-12) in an audio signal by performing auto-correlation (see Fig. 4), wherein each block is subdivided into a plurality of shorter sections (S43) and the correlation comprises correlation between pairs of the shorter sections (S46) to form partial correlations (S48), and subsequently summing the partial correlations to obtain the correlated signal (S52, and see col. 3 lines 40-67).

Regarding claim 20, Yasunaga teaches a method of determining the presence of speech (Abstract, line 6-12) in an audio signal by performing partial correlation (see Fig. 4), wherein an input signal is stored as a plurality of samples (S43) in a pair of correlation buffers (S47), and the auto-correlation is performed on the signals in the buffers to determine the partial correlations (S48), which partial correlations are summed and stored (S52, and see col. 3 lines 40-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825

Page 8

Art Unit: 2644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Händel (Händel; PCT WO 96/24128)

Regarding claim 12, the Händel reference discloses a method of noise reduction. However, the reference does not explicitly include remotely turning noise suppression on and off.

Nevertheless, as would have been well known in the art at the time the invention was made, such remote control is conventional for turning an electronics device on and off. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to include remotely turning noise suppression on and off because such method is conventional.

Regarding claim 13, the Händel reference discloses a method of noise reduction. However, the reference does not explicitly include automatically disabling noise reduction in the presence of very light noise or extremely adverse environments.

Nevertheless, as would have been well known in the art at the time the invention was made, such specifications are required in order to preserve battery power and to protect user from extremely loud environments. Accordingly, it would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825

Page 9

Art Unit: 2644

to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to include automatically disabling noise reduction in the presence of very light noise or extremely adverse environments because such specifications would preserve battery power and to protect user from extremely loud environments.

9. Claims 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Händel (Händel; PCT WO 96/24128) in view of Nakajima et al. (Nakajima; U. S. Patent No. 4,283,601).

Regarding claim 15, Händel teaches a method of reducing noise (see page 2, lines 10-12) in an input, audio signal containing speech (see page 2, lines 3-6). The method includes detecting speech with a modified auto-correlation. However, Händel does not disclose detecting speech with (partial) auto-correlation function. In an analogous art, Nakajima et al. teach a method of detecting speech (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 6) by using (partial) auto-correlation because the synthesis of degrees of agreement of partial auto-correlation coefficients enhance the filter stability (see col. 1, lines 57-59 and col. 10, lines 28-31). Nakajima et al. further teach:

- (1) taking an input sample and separating it into short blocks (160) and storing the blocks in correlation buffers (see col. 6, 42-43);
- (2) correlating the blocks (160) with one another, to form partial correlations (111, see col. 6, lines 51-58); and
- (3) summing the partial correlations(141,151) to obtain a final correlation see col. 6, lines 58-63).

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825
Art Unit: 2644

Page 10

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine within Händel's method the (partial) auto-correlation function method to detect the speech as taught by Nakajima in order to enhance the filter stability (see col. 1, lines 57-59 and col. 10, lines 28-31).

Regarding claim 16, both of Händel (see page 4 line 22- page 5 line 2) and Nakajima (see col. 5 lines 9-13) teach methods of detecting speech directly in the frequency domain by using digital signal processing with Fast Fourier Transform. Nakajima et al. further teach a method of detecting speech (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 6) by using Fast Fourier Transform (7, and see col. 5 lines 9-18) to generate partial correlations (see col. 6 lines 28-36) because the synthesis of degrees of agreement of partial auto-correlation coefficients enhance the filter stability (see col. 1, lines 57-59 and col. 10, lines 28-31).

10. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borth et al. (Borth; U.S. Patent No. 4,628,529) in view of Händel (Händel; PCT WO 96/24128).

Regarding claim 24, Borth et al. disclose an apparatus for reducing noise in a signal as recited in claim 23 (see Fig. 1), wherein the noise filter calculation means (130) determines the square of the speech magnitude spectral estimate by subtracting the square of the noise magnitude spectral estimate from the square of the magnitude spectrum of the input signal (see col. 4 lines 26-30). However, Borth et. al. does not disclose the noise filter calculation means (130) calculates the auxiliary signal as an attenuation function with an oversubtraction factor and an attenuation rule. In an

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825

Page 11

Art Unit: 2644

analogous art, Händel discloses a method of reducing noise (see page 2, lines 10-12) in an input, audio signal containing speech (see page 2, lines 3-6), wherein (see page 7, Table 2, line 8) the attenuation function means (24, Fig. 1) calculates the auxiliary signal as an attenuation function because the method attenuation function with an oversubtraction factor and an attenuation rule gives a better noise reduction without sacrificing audible quality (see page 2, lines 12-13). Händel further discloses the equation:

$$H(f) = \{ (|X(f)|^2 - \beta |N^*(f)|^2) / |X(f)|^2 \}^\alpha$$

Where: $H(f) = \hat{H}_{\delta PS}(w)$, attenuation function (see page 7, line 2-3)

$X(f) = \Phi_x^*(w)$, magnitude spectrum of the input audio signal

(see page 3, line 26-27)

$N^*(f) = \Phi_v^*(w)$, noise magnitude spectral estimate

(see page 4, line 3-4)

$\beta = \delta$, oversubtraction factor (see page 26, lines 6-7)

$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, an attenuation rule

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine Borth's system with the noise filter calculation means (24, Fig. 1) as taught by Händel to calculate the auxiliary signal as an attenuation function with an oversubtraction factor and an attenuation rule in order to achieve a better noise reduction without sacrificing audible quality (see page 2, lines 12-13 and page 18, lines 1-2).

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825
Art Unit: 2644

Page 12

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 7-11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding to claim 7, the prior art provided numerous examples of different noise reduction methods but failed to disclose or fairly suggest the specific functional limitation as specify in claim 7, specifically the oversubtraction factor β is varied as a function of the signal to noise ratio, with β being zero for high and low signal to noise ratios and with β being increased as the signal to noise ratio increases above zero to a maximum value at a predetermined signal to noise ratio and for higher signal to noise ratios β decreases to zero at a second predetermined signal to noise ratio greater than the first predetermined signal to noise ratio.

Regarding to claim 8, the prior art provided numerous examples of different noise reduction methods but failed to disclose or fairly suggest the specific functional limitation as specify in claim 8, specifically the oversubtraction factor β is divided by a reemphasis function $P(f)$ to give a modified oversubtraction factor $\beta^*(f)$, the preemphasis function being such as to reduce β at high frequencies, and thereby reduce attenuation at high frequencies.

Regarding to claim 9, the prior art provided numerous examples of different noise reduction methods but failed to disclose or fairly suggest the specific functional limitation

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825

Page 13

Art Unit: 2644

as specify in claim 9, specifically the rate of change of the attenuation function is controlled to prevent abrupt and rapid changes in the attenuation function.

Regarding to claim 10, the prior art provided numerous examples of different noise reduction methods but failed to disclose or fairly suggest the specific functional limitation as specify in claim 10, specifically the attenuation function is calculated at successive time frames, and the attenuation function is calculated in accordance with the following equation :

$$G_n(f) = (1-\gamma)H(f) + \gamma G_{n-1}(f)$$

Wherein $G_n(f)$ and $G_{n-1}(f)$ are the smoothed attenuation functions at the n 'th and $(n-1)$ 'th time frames, and γ is a forgetting factor.

Regarding to claim 11, the prior art provided numerous examples of different noise reduction methods but failed to disclose or fairly suggest the specific functional limitation as specify in claim 11, specifically β is the function of perceptual distortion.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Nishiguchi et al. U.S. Patent 5,809,455 disclose a method and a device for discriminating a voice sound from an unvoiced sound or background noise in speech.
- b. Eatwell U.S Patent 5,742,694 discloses a noise reduction filter for enhancing noisy audio signals such as speech or music.

Application/Control Number: 09/060,825

Page 14

Art Unit: 2644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Con P. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-2341. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:30 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

cpt CPT
November 7, 2001


FORESTER W. ISEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700

Patent Cases

Client & Matter No.	010494 -A- 0000010	Status	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Active	<input type="radio"/> Inactive	Last Modified	Aug 15, 2002
Client Billing Ref.					ID = 83805	
Cl. Form Letter Ref.						

Client Name	dspfactory Ltd.	Type	DC
Client Title	NOISE REDUCTION IN HEARING AIDS	Status	

Official Title	METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR NOISE REDUCTION, PARTICULARLY IN HEARING AIDS
----------------	--

Applicant No.	ROBBRE	Applicant Name	Robert Brennan
Assignee No.	010494	Assignee Name	dspfactory Ltd.
Associate No.		Associate Name	

Case Type	P	Originating Appl'n	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	Filing Date	Apr 16, 1998
Country	US	Convention Claimed	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Serial No.	09/060825
Lawyer Resp.	023	PCT Application	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	Reg'n Date	00/00/00
Lawyer Assigned	183	Small Entity	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Reg'n No.	
Paralegal		Info. Disclos. Filed	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	Publ'n Date	00/00/00
PCT Chapter		Missing Parts Req'd	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	Assign. Date	Jul 9, 1998
		Main. Fee Reminder	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Assign. No.	9308-0724
		Instructions Req'd	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Status Due	Jul 15, 2000

Due Dates: 2

Due Date	Action	Instr.	Base Date	o/r	Comments
Aug 31, 2002	OA3	<input type="checkbox"/>	May 31, 2002	<input type="checkbox"/>	Official Action received by fax August 7, 2002
Sep 15, 2002	CHECK	<input type="checkbox"/>	Aug 15, 2002	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rcvd Resp to Petition to Reset DD for OA1

Inventors	BRENNAN, Robert
-----------	-----------------

Comments	This is the regular filed application corresponding to provisional patent application No. 60/041,991
	Old file number 680-148

Assoc. Ref.		Priority/Basis (CP) ...	Parent File (PF) ...
Examiner		Country	Country
Division		Filing Date	US
Floor		00/00/00	Filing Date
Class		Serial No.	Apr 16, 1997
Sub Class		Reference	Serial No.
Group/Batch		Date Opened	60/041991
		Jul 8, 1998	Reference
			680-111
			Date Closed
			00/00/00

Accounting			
Office		Cross Ref. No.	
Format	1	Taxable	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Currency	1	Interest	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Industry		Billing Address	Attention Line & Billing Address (if not client address)

Due Date History: 18

Due Date	Action	Instr.	Date Done	o/r	Approv.	Reason Removed
Jul 16, 1998	INFODS	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jul 15, 1998	<input type="checkbox"/>	023	EXTEND
Jul 20, 1998	MPART	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jul 15, 1998	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	023	DB&A
Aug 16, 1998	FRRECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00	<input type="checkbox"/>	023	RECD
Aug 16, 1998	INFODS	<input type="checkbox"/>	Aug 17, 1998	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	023	EXTEND
Sep 16, 1998	INFODS	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sep 15, 1998	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	023	NOTDO
Apr 1, 2000	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	Apr 4, 2000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	023	EXTEND
May 1, 2000	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jul 21, 2000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Sep 1, 2000	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	Oct 27, 2000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Jan 1, 2001	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jan 24, 2001	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Apr 1, 2001	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	Apr 16, 2001	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND

Due Date	Action	Instr.	Date Done	o/r	Approv.	Reason Removed
May 1, 2001	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	Apr 25, 2001	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	023	EXTEND
Jul 1, 2001	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Oct 1, 2001	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Dec 1, 2001	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dec 4, 2001	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Feb 1, 2002	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Apr 1, 2002	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Jul 1, 2002	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	EXTEND
Nov 1, 2002	OARECD	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	183	DONE

Correspondence: 0

Form Letters Printed: 0

Date Printed Form Code

Parts: 1

Part	Contents	Signed Out By	Sign Out Date	Urgent By	Req. T#/X	Ref.	Closed Reason	Box#
001		183	Aug 6, 2002	<input type="checkbox"/>				

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2002

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → **Technology Center 2600** Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM***(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)*

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>		Application Number	09/060,825
		Filing Date	April 16, 1998
		First Named Inventor	BRENNAN, Robert
		Group Art Unit	2743
		Examiner Name	Con P. Tran
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	21	Attorney Docket Number	10494-10

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers <i>(for an Application)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Response	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group <i>(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) <i>(please identify below):</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	stamped return receipt postcard
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	BERESKIN & PARR
Signature	
Date	August 16, 2002

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date:

Typed or printed name		Date
Signature		

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.